

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/688,288	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LEE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Anatoly Vortman	<b>Art Unit</b> 2835	

**All Participants:**

(1) Examiner Anatoly Vortman / AU 2835.

(2) Robert L. Troike, Reg. No. 24,183.

**Date of Interview:** 9 November 2005

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
21-24

Prior art documents discussed:  
none

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The Examiner has indicated that claim 21 contains 35 USC 112, paragraph two problems and suggested to cancel claims 21-24 in order to place application in condition for allowance. The agreement was reached.*

**Part III.**


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**ANATOLY VORTMAN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)